

The Federal Communications Commission

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

Perhaps the Universal service Fund should first be investigated to see if the purposes for which it was established are still relevant to the same degree. I always did feel the taxes/charges/surcharges are 'snuk' onto telephone bills so that people won't figure them out.

I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Robert didden
305 W 4th St
Bethany Beach, Delaware 19930-9171